arXiv:math/0210450v2 [math.QA] 6 Nov 2002

Path Model for a Level Zero Extremal Weight Module over a Quantum Affine Algebra[*]

Satoshi Naito
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: `naito@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`)

and

Daisuke Sagaki
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: `sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`)

Abstract

We give a path model for a level zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra. By using this result, we prove a branching rule for an extremal weight module with respect to a Levi subalgebra. Furthermore, we also show a decomposition rule of Littelmann type for the concatenation of path models for an integrable highest weight module and a level zero extremal weight module in the case where the extremal weight is minuscule.

0 Introduction.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra with $\mathfrak{h}$ the Cartan subalgebra and W the Weyl group. We fix an integral weight lattice $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ that contains all simple roots Π of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. In [L1] and [L2], Littelmann introduced the notion of Lakshmibai–Seshadri paths of shape λ, which are piecewise linear, continuous maps $\pi : [0,1] \to P$ parametrized by pairs of a sequence of elements of $W\lambda$ and a sequence of rational numbers satisfying a certain condition, called the chain condition. Denote by $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ the set of Lakshmibai–Seshadri paths of shape λ. Littelmann proved that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ has a normal crystal structure in the sense of [Kas3], and that if λ is a dominant integral weight, then the formal sum $\sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)} e(\pi(1))$ is equal to the character $\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)$ of the integrable highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ. Then he conjectured that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ for dominant $\lambda \in P$ would be isomorphic to the crystal base of the integrable highest weight module of highest weight λ as crystals. This conjecture was affirmatively proved independently by Kashiwara [Kas4] and Joseph [J].

---

[*] To be revised.

In [Kas2] and [Kas5], Kashiwara introduced an extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ of extremal weight $\lambda \in P$ over a quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, and showed that it has a crystal base $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. The extremal weight module is a natural generalization of an integrable highest (lowest) weight module. In fact, we know from [Kas2, §8] that if $\lambda \in P$ is dominant (resp. anti-dominant), then the extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the integrable highest (resp. lowest) weight module of highest (resp. lowest) weight λ, and the crystal base $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the crystal base of the integrable highest (resp. lowest) weight module as crystals.

Now, assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine type. Let I be the index set of the simple roots Π of $\mathfrak{g}$, and fix a special vertex $0 \in I$ as in [Kas5, §5.2]. In this paper, as an extension of the isomorphism theorem due to Kashiwara and Joseph, we prove that if λ is a fundamental basic weight $\varpi_i \in P$ for $i \in I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$ (see [Kas5, §5.2]; note that ϖ_i is not dominant), then the connected component[1] $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ of $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ containing $\pi_{\varpi_i}(t) := t\varpi_i$ is isomorphic to the crystal base $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ of the extremal weight module $V(\varpi_i)$ as crystals. Namely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine type. There exists a unique isomorphism $\Phi_{\varpi_i} : \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ of crystals such that $\Phi_{\varpi_i}(u_{\varpi_i}) = \pi_{\varpi_i}$, where $u_{\varpi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ is the unique extremal weight element of extremal weight ϖ_i.*

In [Kas5], Kashiwara conjectured that if $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} m_i \varpi_i$, then there exists a natural embedding of crystals from the connected component $\mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ containing the extremal weight element u_λ of weight λ into the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0} \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ that maps u_λ to $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0} u_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}$. Beck and Nakajima proved in [B], [BN], and [N] that this conjecture is true. Because the tensor product of crystals corresponds to the concatenation of path models (cf. [L2, §1 and Lemma 2.7]), we get the following corollary by combining the above result of Beck and Nakajima and Theorem 1.

Corollary. *Let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} m_i \varpi_i \in P$ with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and let $\mathbb{B}_0(\underline{\lambda})$ be the connected component of the concatenation $\mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$ of path models $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$'s containing the path $\pi_{\underline{\lambda}} := \mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \pi_{\varpi_i}^{*m_i}$, i.e., that of $\mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$. Then there exists a unique isomorphism $\Phi_{\underline{\lambda}} : \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_0(\underline{\lambda})$ of crystals that maps u_λ to $\pi_{\underline{\lambda}}$.*

Let $\mathfrak{g}_S$ be a Levi subalgebra corresponding to a proper subset S of the index set I. By restriction, we can regard the crystals $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ and $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ as crystals for $\mathfrak{g}_S$. We show the following branching rule for $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ and $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ as crystals for $\mathfrak{g}_S$:

---

[1] The authors have checked that the crystal graph of $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected for some special ϖ_i's. For example, if ϖ_i is minuscule, then $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected. The connectedness of the crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ will be studied in a forthcoming paper.

Theorem 2. *As crystals for* $\mathfrak{g}_S$*,* $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ *and* $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ *decompose as follows*:

$$\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}_S(\pi(1)), \qquad \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i) \\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}_S(\pi(1)).$$

where $\mathbb{B}_S(\lambda)$ *is the set of Lakshmibai–Seshadri paths of shape* λ *for* $\mathfrak{g}_S$*, and* $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ *is said to be* $\mathfrak{g}_S$*-dominant if* $(\pi(t))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ *for all* $t \in [0,1]$ *and* $i \in S$*.*

We also show that the extremal weight module $V(\varpi_i)$ of extremal weight ϖ_i is completely reducible as a $\mathfrak{g}_S$-module. As an application of Theorems 1 and 2 above, we obtain the following branching rule for $V(\varpi_i)$:

Theorem 3. *The extremal weight module* $V(\varpi_i)$ *of extremal weight* ϖ_i *is completely reducible as a* $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$*-module, and the decomposition of* $V(\varpi_i)$ *as a* $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$*-module is given by*:

$$V(\varpi_i) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i) \\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} V_S(\pi(1)),$$

where $V_S(\lambda)$ *is the integrable highest weight module of highest weight* λ *over the quantized universal enveloping algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$ *of the Levi subalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}_S$*.*

Assume that ϖ_i is minuscule, i.e., $\varpi_i(\alpha^\vee) \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$ for every dual real root $\alpha^\vee$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we can check that $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected, and hence $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) = \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$. In this case, we get the following decomposition rule of Littelmann type for the concatenation $\mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. Here we note that unlike Theorems 2 and 3, this theorem does not necessarily imply the decomposition rule for tensor products of corresponding $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

Theorem 4. *Let* λ *be a dominant integral weight which is not a multiple of the null root* δ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, and assume that* ϖ_i *is minuscule. Then the concatenation* $\mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ *decomposes as follows*:

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \\ \pi:\ \lambda\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}(\lambda + \pi(1)),$$

where $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ *is said to be* λ*-dominant if* $(\lambda + \pi(t))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ *for all* $t \in [0,1]$ *and* $i \in I$*.*

Remark. The reader should compare Theorems 1 and 4 with the corresponding results [G, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6] of Greenstein for bounded modules.

This paper is organized as follows. In §1, we fix our notation, and recall some basic facts about crystal bases. In §2 and §3, we introduce some tools for crystal bases and path models, which will be needed in the proof of our isomorphism theorem (Theorem 1). In §4, we will prove our main results mentioned above.

1 Preliminaries and Notation.

1.1 Quantized universal enveloping algebras. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix, and $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}(A)$ the Kac–Moody algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ associated to the generalized Cartan matrix A. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ the Cartan subalgebra, by $\Pi := \{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\Pi^\vee := \{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ the set of simple roots and simple coroots, and by $W = \langle r_i \mid i \in I\rangle$ the Weyl group. We take (and fix) an integral weight lattice $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\alpha_i \in P$ for all $i \in I$.

Denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ of rational functions in q, and by $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$) the negative (resp. positive) part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We denote by $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ the modified quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, where a_λ is a formal element of weight λ (cf. [Kas2, §1.2]).

1.2 Affine Lie algebras. In some parts of this paper, we will assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine type. In this case, we use the following notation. Let

$$\delta = \sum_{i\in I} a_i\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad c = \sum_{i\in I} a_i^\vee \alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h} \tag{1.2.1}$$

be the null root and the canonical central element of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, which is normalized by: $a_i^\vee = \frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}a_i$ for all $i \in I$. Set $\mathfrak{h}_0^* := \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Q}\alpha_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, and let $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}_0^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ the canonical map from $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$ onto the quotient space $\mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$. We have a bilinear form (denoted also by $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$) on $\mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ induced from the bilinear form $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$, which is positive-definite.

We take (and fix) a special vertex $0 \in I$ as in [Kas5, §5.2], and set $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$. For $i \in I_0$, let ϖ_i be a unique element in $\bigoplus_{i\in I_0}\mathbb{Q}\alpha_i$ such that $\varpi_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Notice that $\Lambda_i := \varpi_i + a_i^\vee\Lambda_0$ is an i-th fundamental weight for $\mathfrak{g}$, where Λ_0 is a 0-th fundamental weight for $\mathfrak{g}$. So we may assume that all ϖ_i's are contained in the integral weight lattice P.

1.3 Crystal bases. Let $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ be the crystal base of the negative part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ with u_∞ the highest weight element. Denote by e_i and f_i the raising and lowering Kashiwara operator on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, respectively, and define $\varepsilon_i : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_i : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i(b) := \max\{n \geq 0 \mid e_i^n b \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(b) := \varepsilon_i(b) + (\mathrm{wt}(b))(\alpha_i^\vee). \tag{1.3.1}$$

Denote by $* : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \to \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ the so-called $*$-operation on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ (cf. [Kas1, Theorem 2.1.1] and [Kas3, §8.3]). We put $e_i^* := * \circ e_i \circ *$ and $f_i^* := * \circ f_i \circ *$ for each $i \in I$.

Theorem 1.3.1 (cf. [Kas1, Theorem 2.2.1])**.** *For each $i \in I$, there exists an embedding $\Psi_i^- : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\infty)\otimes\mathcal{B}_i$ of crystals that maps u_∞ to $u_\infty\otimes b_i(0)$, where $\mathcal{B}_i := \{b_i(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a crystal in* [Kas1, Example 1.2.6]*. In addition, if $b = (f_i^*)^k b_0$ with some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ such that $e_i^* b_0 = 0$, then $\Psi_i^-(b) = b_0 \otimes b_i(-k)$.*

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ the crystal base of the positive part $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ with $u_{-\infty}$ the lowest weight vector, and by e_i and f_i the raising and lowering Kashiwara operator on $\mathcal{B}(-\infty)$, respectively. We set

$$\varepsilon_i(b) := \varphi_i(b) - (\mathrm{wt}(b))(\alpha_i^\vee), \quad \varphi_i(b) := \max\bigl\{n \geq 0 \mid f_i^n b \neq 0\bigr\}. \tag{1.3.2}$$

We also have the $*$-operation $* : \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \to \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ on $\mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. We can easily show that there exists an embedding $\Psi_i^+ : \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_i \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ of crystals with properties similar to Ψ_i^- in Theorem 1.3.1.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in P} \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ be the crystal base of the modified quantized universal enveloping algebra $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with u_λ the element of $\mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ corresponding to $a_\lambda \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ (cf. [Kas2, Theorem 2.1.2]). We denote by e_i and f_i the raising and lowering Kashiwara operator on $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, and define $\varepsilon_i : \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_i : \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i(b) := \max\bigl\{n \geq 0 \mid e_i^n b \neq 0\bigr\}, \qquad \varphi_i(b) := \max\bigl\{n \geq 0 \mid f_i^n b \neq 0\bigr\}. \tag{1.3.3}$$

We know the following theorem from [Kas2, Theorem 3.1.1].

Theorem 1.3.2. *There exists an isomorphism* $\Xi_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ *of crystals such that* $\Xi_\lambda(u_\lambda) = u_\infty \otimes t_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$, *where* $\mathcal{T}_\lambda := \bigl\{t_\lambda\bigr\}$ *is a crystal consisting of a single element* t_λ *of weight* λ (cf. [Kas3, Example 7.3]).

We also denote by $* : \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ the $*$-operation on $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ (cf. [Kas2, Theorem 4.3.2]). We know the following theorem from [Kas2, Corollary 4.3.3].

Theorem 1.3.3. *Let* $b \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$, *and assume that* $\Xi_\lambda(b) = b_1 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes b_2$ *with* $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ *and* $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. *Then,* b^* *is contained in* $\mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda'})$, *where* $\lambda' := -\lambda - \mathrm{wt}(b_1) - \mathrm{wt}(b_2)$, *and* $\Xi_{\lambda'}(b^*) = b_1^* \otimes t_{\lambda'} \otimes b_2^*$.

1.4 Crystal base of an extremal weight module. Since $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a normal crystal, we can define an action of the Weyl group W on $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ (see [Kas2, §7.1]); for $i \in I$, we define an action of simple reflection r_i by

$$r_i b := \begin{cases} f_i^n b & \text{if } \ n := (\mathrm{wt}(b))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0 \\ e_i^{-n} b & \text{if } \ n := (\mathrm{wt}(b))(\alpha_i^\vee) \leq 0. \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \ b \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})). \tag{1.4.1}$$

An element $b \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ is called extremal if the elements $\bigl\{wb\bigr\}_{w \in W} \subset \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ satisfy the following condition for all $i \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } \bigl(\mathrm{wt}(wb)\bigr)(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0, \text{ then } e_i(wb) = 0, \\ &\text{and if } \bigl(\mathrm{wt}(wb)\bigr)(\alpha_i^\vee) \leq 0, \text{ then } f_i(wb) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4.2}$$

For $\lambda \in P$, we define a subcrystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ by

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda) := \bigl\{b \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \mid b^* \text{ is extremal}\bigr\}. \tag{1.4.3}$$

Remark that $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. We know from [Kas2, Proposition 8.2.2] and [Kas5, §3.1] that $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is the crystal base of the extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ of extremal weight λ over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

2 Some Tools for Crystal Bases.

2.1 Multiple maps. We know the following theorem (see [Kas4, Theorem 3.2]).

Theorem 2.1.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There exists a unique injective map $S_{m,\infty} : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ such that for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and $i \in I$, we have*

$$\mathrm{wt}(S_{m,\infty}(b)) = m\,\mathrm{wt}(b), \quad \varepsilon_i(S_{m,\infty}(b)) = m\varepsilon_i(b), \quad \varphi_i(S_{m,\infty}(b)) = m\varphi_i(b), \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$S_{m,\infty}(u_\infty) = u_\infty, \quad S_{m,\infty}(e_i b) = e_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b), \quad S_{m,\infty}(f_i b) = f_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b). \tag{2.1.2}$$

Proposition 2.1.2. *We set $S^*_{m,\infty} := * \circ S_{m,\infty} \circ *$. Then we have $S^*_{m,\infty} = S_{m,\infty}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$. Namely, the $*$-operation commutes with the map $S_{m,\infty} : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\infty)$.*

The proposition above can be shown in a way similar to [NS2, Theorem 2.3.1]. Before giving a proof of the proposition, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1.3. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(\infty) \arrow[r, "\Psi_j^-"] \arrow[d, "S^*_{m,\infty}"'] & \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{B}_j \arrow[d, "S^*_{m,\infty} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m,j}"] \\
\mathcal{B}(\infty) \arrow[r, "\Psi_j^-"] & \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{B}_j.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{S}_{m,j} : \mathcal{B}_j \to \mathcal{B}_j$ is a map defined by $\mathcal{S}_{m,j}(b_j(n)) := b_j(mn)$.

Proof. For $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$, there exists $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ such that $b = (f_j^*)^k b_0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $e_j^* b_0 = 0$. Then, by Theorem 1.3.1, we have $\Psi_j^-(b) = b_0 \otimes b_j(-k)$, and hence

$$(S^*_\infty \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m,j})(\Psi_j^-(b)) = S^*_\infty(b_0) \otimes b_j(-mk).$$

On the other hand, we see that $S^*_{m,\infty}(b) = (f_j^*)^{mk} S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0)$. If $e_j^* S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0) \neq 0$, then we have $\varepsilon_j(S_{m,\infty}(b_0^*)) \geq 1$. Since $\varepsilon_j(S_{m,\infty}(b)) = m\varepsilon_j(b) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$, we deduce that $\varepsilon_j(S_{m,\infty}(b_0^*)) \geq m$, and hence $(e_j^*)^m S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0) \neq 0$. However, since $e_j^* b_0 = 0$, we get $(e_j^*)^m S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0) = S^*_{m,\infty}(e_j^* b_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $e_j^* S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0) = 0$. It follows from Theorem 1.3.1 that

$$\Psi_j^-(S^*_{m,\infty}(b)) = \Psi_j^-((f_j^*)^{mk} S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0)) = S^*_{m,\infty}(b_0) \otimes b_j(-mk).$$

Hence we have $(S^*_{m,\infty} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m,j})(\Psi_j^-(b)) = \Psi_j^-(S^*_{m,\infty}(b))$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1.2. We will prove that $S^*_\infty(b) = S_{m,\infty}(b)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\xi}$ by induction on the height $\mathrm{ht}(\xi)$ of ξ (note that $-\mathrm{wt}(b) \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$). If $\mathrm{ht}(\xi) = 0$, then b is the highest weight element $u_\infty \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$, and hence the assertion is obvious.

Assume that $\mathrm{ht}(\xi) \geq 1$. Then there exists some $i \in I$ such that $b_1 := e_i b \neq 0$. If $e^*_j b_1 = 0$ for all $j \in I$, then $b_1 = u_\infty$, and hence $b = f_i u_\infty$. Because $f_i^k u_\infty$ is a unique element of weight $-k\alpha_i$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\mathrm{wt}(b^*) = \mathrm{wt}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$, we deduce that $b^* = b$, and hence that

$$S^*_{m,\infty}(b) = \big(S_{m,\infty}(b^*)\big)^* = \big(S_{m,\infty}(b)\big)^* = \big(f_i^m u_\infty\big)^* = f_i^m u_\infty = S_{m,\infty}(b).$$

So we may assume that there exists $j \in I$ such that $e^*_j b_1 \neq 0$. Let $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ be such that $e^*_j b_2 = 0$ and $b_1 = (f^*_j)^k b_2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Namely, $b = f_i (f^*_j)^k b_2$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ such that $e^*_j b_2 = 0$.

Case 1 : $i \neq j$. We show that $\Psi^-_j(S^*_{m,\infty}(b)) = \Psi^-_j(S_{m,\infty}(b))$ (recall that $\Psi^-_j : \mathcal{B}(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{B}_j$ is an embedding of crystals). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^-_j(b) &= \Psi^-_j(f_i (f^*_j)^k b_2) = f_i \Psi^-_j((f^*_j)^k b_2) = f_i (b_2 \otimes b_j(-k)) \\ &= f_i b_2 \otimes b_j(-k).\end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality immediately follows from the definition of the tensor product of crystals (see, for example, [Kas3, §7.3]) and the condition that $i \neq j$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^-_j(S^*_{m,\infty}(b)) &= (S^*_{m,\infty} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m,j})(\Psi^-_j(b)) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1.3} \\ &= S^*_{m,\infty}(f_i b_2) \otimes b_j(-mk) \\ &= S_{m,\infty}(f_i b_2) \otimes b_j(-mk) \quad \text{by the inductive assumption} \\ &= f_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_j(-mk).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{m,\infty}(b) &= S_{m,\infty}(f_i (f^*_j)^k b_2) = f_i^m S_{m,\infty}((f^*_j)^k b_2) \\ &= f_i^m (f^*_j)^{mk} S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \quad \text{by the inductive assumption.}\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1.3, we deduce that $e^*_j S^*_{m,\infty}(b_2) = 0$, and hence $e^*_j S_{m,\infty}(b_2) = e^*_j S^*_{m,\infty}(b_2) = 0$ by the inductive assumption. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^-_j(S_{m,\infty}(b)) &= \Psi^-_j(f_i^m (f^*_j)^{mk} S_{m,\infty}(b_2)) = f_i^m \Psi^-_j((f^*_j)^{mk} S_{m,\infty}(b_2)) \\ &= f_i^m (S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_j(-mk)) = (f_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b_2)) \otimes b_j(-mk).\end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality immediately follows again from the definition of the tensor product of crystals and the condition that $i \neq j$. Thus, we get that $\Psi^-_j(S^*_{m,\infty}(b)) = \Psi^-_j(S_{m,\infty}(b))$, and hence $S^*_{m,\infty}(b) = S_{m,\infty}(b)$.

Case 2 : $i = j$. As in Case 1, we have $\Psi_j^-(b) = f_i(b_2 \otimes b_i(-k))$. We deduce from the definition of the tensor product of crystals that

$$\Psi_i^-(b) = f_i(b_2 \otimes b_i(-k)) = \begin{cases} f_i b_2 \otimes b_i(-k) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) > k, \\ b_2 \otimes b_i(-k-1) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) \le k. \end{cases}$$

Hence, as in Case 1, we get

$$\Psi_i^-(S_{m,\infty}^*(b)) = \begin{cases} f_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) > k, \\ S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk-m) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) \le k. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, in exactly the same way as in Case 1, we can show that $\Psi_i^-(S_{m,\infty}(b)) = f_i^m(S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk))$. Because $\varphi_i(S_{m,\infty}(b_2)) = m\varphi_i(b_2)$ by (2.1.1), we deduce from the definition of the tensor product of crystals that

$$f_i^m(S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk)) = \begin{cases} f_i^m S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) > k, \\ S_{m,\infty}(b_2) \otimes b_i(-mk-m) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_2) \le k. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain that $\Psi_i^-(S_{m,\infty}^*(b)) = \Psi_i^-(S_{m,\infty}(b))$, and hence $S_{m,\infty}^*(b) = S_{m,\infty}(b)$. Thus, we have proved the proposition. □

Remark 2.1.4. A similar result holds for the crystal base $\mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. Namely, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists a unique injective map $S_{m,-\infty} : \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ with properties similar to $S_{m,\infty}$ in Theorem 2.1.1, and it commutes with the $*$-operation on $\mathcal{B}(-\infty)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we define an injective map $\widetilde{S}_{m,\lambda} : \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda})$ as in the following commutative diagram (cf. Theorem 1.3.2):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \arrow[r, "\Xi_\lambda", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{S}_{m,\lambda}"'] & \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \arrow[d, "S_{m,\infty} \otimes \tau_{m,\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty}"] \\ \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda}) & \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{m\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty), \arrow[l, "\Xi_{m\lambda}^{-1}"', "\sim"] \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1.4}$$

where $\tau_{m,\lambda} : \mathcal{T}_\lambda \to \mathcal{T}_{m\lambda}$ is defined by $\tau_{m,\lambda}(t_\lambda) := t_{m\lambda}$. We define $\widetilde{S}_m : \widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as the direct sum of all $\widetilde{S}_{m,\lambda}$'s.

Proposition 2.1.5. *The maps* $\widetilde{S}_{m,\lambda} : \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda})$ *and* $\widetilde{S}_m : \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ *have properties similar to* $S_{m,\infty}$ *in Theorem 2.1.1. In addition, the map* $\widetilde{S}_m$ *commutes with the* $*$*-operation on* $\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Proof. The first assertion immediately follows from Theorem 2.1.1, Remark 2.1.4, and the definition of the tensor product of crystals (see also [Kas5, Appendix B]). Let us show the second assertion. We set $\widetilde{S}_m^* := * \circ \widetilde{S}_m \circ *$. It suffices to prove the following claim:

Claim. Let $\lambda \in P$, and $b \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$. Then we have that $\widetilde{S}_m^*(b) \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda})$, and that $\Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m^*(b)) = \Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m(b))$.

Assume that $\Xi_\lambda(b) = b_1 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes b_2$ with $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. Then we see by the definition of $\widetilde{S}_m$ that

$$\Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m(b)) = (S_{m,\infty} \otimes \tau_{m,\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty})(\Xi_\lambda(b)) = S_{m,\infty}(b_1) \otimes t_{m\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty}(b_2).$$

On the other hand, we know from Theorem 1.3.3 that $b^* \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda'})$ and $\Xi_{\lambda'}(b^*) = b_1^* \otimes t_{\lambda'} \otimes b_2^*$, where $\lambda' := -\lambda - \mathrm{wt}(b_1) - \mathrm{wt}(b_2)$. Hence we have

$$\Xi_{m\lambda'}(\widetilde{S}_m(b^*)) = (S_{m,\infty} \otimes \tau_{m,\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty})(\Xi_{\lambda'}(b^*)) = S_{m,\infty}(b_1^*) \otimes t_{m\lambda'} \otimes S_{m,-\infty}(b_2^*).$$

We deduce again from Theorem 1.3.3 that $\widetilde{S}_m^*(b) = \big(\widetilde{S}_m(b^*)\big)^* \in \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda})$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m^*(b)) &= S_{m,\infty}^*(b_1) \otimes t_{m\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty}^*(b_2) \\ &= S_{m,\infty}(b_1) \otimes t_{m\lambda} \otimes S_{m,-\infty}(b_2) \quad \text{by Proposition 2.1.2 and Remark 2.1.4.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain $\Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m^*(b)) = \Xi_{m\lambda}(\widetilde{S}_m(b))$, as desired. □

Theorem 2.1.6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There exists an injective map $S_{m,\lambda} : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(m\lambda)$ such that $S_{m,\lambda}(u_\lambda) = u_{m\lambda}$ and such that for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and $i \in I$, we have*

$$\mathrm{wt}(S_{m,\lambda}(b)) = m\,\mathrm{wt}(b), \quad \varepsilon_i(S_{m,\lambda}(b)) = m\varepsilon_i(b), \quad \varphi_i(S_{m,\lambda}(b)) = m\varphi_i(b), \tag{2.1.5}$$

$$S_{m,\lambda}(e_i b) = e_i^m S_{m,\lambda}(b), \quad S_{m,\lambda}(f_i b) = f_i^m S_{m,\lambda}(b). \tag{2.1.6}$$

Proof. Set $S_{m,\lambda} := \widetilde{S}_m|_{\mathcal{B}(\lambda)}$. Then it is obvious from Proposition 2.1.5 that $S_{m,\lambda}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{m\lambda})$. So we need only show that $\big(S_{m,\lambda}(b)\big)^*$ is extremal for every $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. We can easily check that the action of the Weyl group W commutes with $S_{m,\lambda}$. So it follows from Proposition 2.1.5 that

$$w\big((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*\big) = wS_{m,\lambda}(b^*) = S_{m,\lambda}(wb^*) \quad \text{for all } \ b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \text{ and } w \in W.$$

Assume that $\mathrm{wt}(b^*) = \mu$. Then we see that $\mathrm{wt}\big((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*\big) = m\mu$. Suppose that $(w(m\mu))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ and $e_i\big(w((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*)\big) \neq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1.3, we deduce that $e_i^m\big(w((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*)\big) \neq 0$. Hence we have

$$S_{m,\lambda}\big(e_i(wb^*)\big) = e_i^m S_{m,\lambda}(wb^*) = e_i^m\big(wS_{m,\lambda}(b^*)\big) = e_i^m\big(w((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*)\big) \neq 0.$$

However, since $(w(\mu))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ and b^* is extremal, we have $e_i(wb^*) = 0$, and hence $S_{m,\lambda}\big(e_i(wb^*)\big) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we get that $e_i\big(w((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*)\big) = 0$. Similarly, we can prove that if $(w(m\mu))(\alpha_i^\vee) \leq 0$, then $f_i\big(w((S_{m,\lambda}(b))^*)\big) = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

2.2 Embedding into tensor products. In this subsection, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an affine Lie algebra (for the notation, see §1.2). We know the following theorem from [B], [N] in the symmetric case, and from [BN] in the nonsymmetric case.

Theorem 2.2.1. *Let* $\lambda := \sum_{i\in I_0} m_i\varpi_i$ *with* $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, *and let* $\mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ *be the connected component of* $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ *containing the extremal weight element* u_λ *of weight* λ. *There exists a unique embedding* $\mathcal{B}_0(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_{i\in I_0} \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ *of crystals that maps* u_λ *to* $\bigotimes_{i\in I_0} u_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}$. *In particular, we have an embedding*

$$G_{m,\varpi_i} : \mathcal{B}_0(m\varpi_i) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m} \tag{2.2.1}$$

of crystals that maps $u_{m\varpi_i}$ *to* $u_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m}$.

Since $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected (see [Kas5, Theorem 5.5]), we see that $S_{m,\varpi_i}(\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)) \subset \mathcal{B}_0(m\varpi_i)$. Hence we can define $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i} : \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m}$ by $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i} := G_{m,\varpi_i} \circ S_{m,\varpi_i}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Remark that σ_{m,ϖ_i} has the following properties:

$$\mathrm{wt}(\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b)) = m\,\mathrm{wt}(b), \quad \varepsilon_j(\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b)) = m\varepsilon_j(b), \quad \varphi_j(\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b)) = m\varphi_j(b), \tag{2.2.2}$$

$$\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(u_{\varpi_i}) = u_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m}, \quad \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(e_j b) = e_j^m \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b), \quad \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(f_j b) = f_j^m \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b). \tag{2.2.3}$$

Lemma 2.2.2. *Let* m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. *Then we have* $\sigma_{mn,\varpi_i} = \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected, every $b \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ is of the form $b = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}u_{\varpi_i}$ for some $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k \in I$, where x_j is either e_j or f_j. We will show by induction on k that $\sigma_{mn,\varpi_i}(b) = \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$. If $k = 0$, then the assertion is obvious, since $b = u_{\varpi_i}$. Assume that $k \geq 1$. We set $b' := x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}u_{\varpi_i}$, and $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b') =: u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m}$. Assume that

$$\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) = x_{j_1}^m \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b') = x_{j_1}^{k_1}u_1 \otimes x_{j_1}^{k_2}u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j_1}^{k_m}u_m.$$

for some $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we have

$$\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) = x_{j_1}^{nk_1}\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_1) \otimes x_{j_1}^{nk_2}\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j_1}^{nk_m}\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_m).$$

Remark (cf. [Kas1, Lemma 1.3.6]) that for all $u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m}$,

$$x_j(u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m) = u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j u_l \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m$$

if and only if

$$x_j^n(\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_1) \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_m)) = \\ \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_1) \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j^n\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_l) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_m).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) &= x_{j_1}^{mn}\bigl(\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_1) \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{n,\varpi_i}(u_m)\bigr) \\ &= x_{j_1}^{mn}\bigl(\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b')\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b') = \sigma_{mn,\varpi_i}(b')$ by the inductive assumption, and since $\sigma_{mn,\varpi_i}(b) = x_{j_1}^{mn}\sigma_{mn,\varpi_i}(b')$, we obtain $\sigma_{n,\varpi_i}^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) = \sigma_{mn,\varpi_i}(b)$. □

For each $w \in W$, we set $u_{w\varpi_i} := wu_{\varpi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$. By [Kas5, Proposition 5.8], we see that $u_{w\lambda}$ is well-defined. We can easily show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.3. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $w \in W$, we have $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(u_{w\varpi_i}) = (u_{w\varpi_i})^{\otimes m}$.*

Proposition 2.2.4. *Let $b \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$. Assume that $b = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}u_{\varpi_i}$, where x_j is either e_j or f_j, and set $b_l := x_{j_l}x_{j_{l+1}}\cdots x_{i_k}u_{\varpi_i}$ for $l = 1,\, 2 \,\ldots,\, k+1$ (here $b_{k+1} := u_{\varpi_i}$). Then there exists sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $l = 1,\, 2 \,\ldots,\, k+1$,*

$$\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_l) = u_{w_{l,1}\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_{l,2}\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_{l,m}\varpi_i} \tag{2.2.4}$$

for some $w_{l,1},\, w_{l,2},\, \ldots,\, w_{l,m} \in W$.

Proof. We show the assertion by induction on k. If $k = 0$, then the assertion is obvious. Assume that $k \geq 1$. By the inductive assumption, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_l)$ is of the desired form for all $l = 2, \ldots, k+1$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_1) = \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(x_{j_1}b_2) &= x_{j_1}^{m}\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_2) \\ &= x_{j_1}^{c_1}u_{w_{2,1}\varpi_i} \otimes x_{j_1}^{c_2}u_{w_{2,2}\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j_1}^{c_m}u_{w_{2,m}\varpi_i}.\end{aligned}$$

for some $c_1,\, c_2,\, \ldots,\, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We can easily check by Lemma 2.2.3 and [Kas1, Lemma 1.3.6] that if $n_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfies the condition $(w_{2,p}\varpi_i)(\alpha_{j_1}^{\vee}) \mid n_p c_p$, then $\sigma_{n_p,\varpi_i}(x_{j_1}^{c_p}u_{w_{2,p}\varpi_i}) = u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_n\varpi_i}$ for some $w_1,\, w_2,\, \ldots,\, w_n \in W$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2.3, we see that there exists $N \gg 0$ (for example, put $N = \prod_{p=1}^{m} n_p$) such that

$$(\sigma_{N,\varpi_i})^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_1) = u_{w_{1,1}\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_{1,2}\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_{1,Nm}\varpi_i}$$

for some $w_{1,1},\, w_{1,2},\, \ldots,\, w_{1,Nm} \in W$. Furthermore, we deduce from Lemma 2.2.3 that $(\sigma_{N,\varpi_i})^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_l)$ is of the desired form for all $l = 2, \ldots, k+1$. It follows from Lemma 2.2.2 that $(\sigma_{N,\varpi_i})^{\otimes m} \circ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i} = \sigma_{Nm,\varpi_i}$. We have thus proved the proposition. □

3 Preliminary Results.

3.1 Some tools for path models. A path is, by definition, a piecewise linear, continuous map $\pi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P$ such that $\pi(0) = 0$. We regard two paths π and π'

as equivalent if there exist piecewise linear, nondecreasing, surjective, continuous maps ψ, $\psi' : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ (reparametrization) such that $\pi \circ \psi = \pi' \circ \psi$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of paths (modulo reparametrization) such that $\pi(1) \in P$, and by e_i and f_i the raising and lowering root operator (see [L2, §1]). By using root operators, we can endow $\mathbb{P}$ with a normal crystal structure (see [L2, §1 and §2]); we set $\mathrm{wt}(\pi) := \pi(1)$, and define $\varepsilon_i : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_i : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i(\pi) := \max\bigl\{n \geq 0 \mid e_i^n \pi \neq 0\bigr\}, \qquad \varphi_i(\pi) := \max\bigl\{n \geq 0 \mid f_i^n \pi \neq 0\bigr\}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

For an (arbitrary) integral weight $\lambda \in P$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ the set of Lakshmibai–Seshadri paths of shape λ (see [L2, §4]), and set $\pi_\lambda(t) := t\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. Denote by $\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ the connected component of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ containing π_λ. We obtain the following lemma by [L2, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 3.1.1. *For $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$, we define $S_m : \mathbb{P} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ by $S_m(\pi) := m\pi$, where $(m\pi)(t) := m\pi(t)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Then we have $S_m(\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}_0(m\lambda)$. In addition, the map S_m has properties similar to $S_{m,\infty}$ in Theorem 2.1.1.*

For paths π_1, $\pi_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, we define a concatenation $\pi_1 * \pi_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ as in [L2, §1]. Because $\pi_\lambda * \pi_\lambda * \cdots * \pi_\lambda$ (m-times) is just $\pi_{m\lambda}$ modulo reparametrization, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1.2. *We have a canonical embedding $G_{m,\lambda} : \mathbb{B}_0(m\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\lambda)^{*m}$ of crystals that maps $\pi_{m\lambda}$ to π_λ^{*m}, where $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)^{*m} := \bigl\{\pi_1 * \pi_2 * \cdots * \pi_m \mid \pi_i \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)\bigr\}$, and $\pi_\lambda^{*m} := \pi_\lambda * \pi_\lambda * \cdots * \pi_\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)^{*m}$.*

By combining Lemmas 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, we get an embedding $\sigma_{m,\lambda} : \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\lambda)^{*m}$ defined by $\sigma_{m,\lambda} := G_{m,\lambda} \circ S_m$. It can easily be seen that this map has properties similar to (2.2.2) and (2.2.3).

Since $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ is a normal crystal, we can define an action of the Weyl group W on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ (cf. (1.4.1); see also [L2, Theorem 8.1]). We set $\pi_{w\lambda} := w\pi_\lambda$ for $w \in W$. Note that $(w\pi_\lambda)(t) = t(w\lambda)$ for each $w \in W$. Using [L2, Lemma 2.7], we can prove the following proposition in a way similar to Proposition 2.2.4.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let $\pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$. Assume that $\pi = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_k} \pi_\lambda$, where x_j is either e_j or f_j, and set $\pi_l := x_{j_l} x_{j_{l+1}} \cdots x_{i_k} \pi_\lambda$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, k+1$ (here $\pi_{k+1} := \pi_\lambda$). Then there exists sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $l = 1, 2 \ldots, k+1$,*

$$\sigma_{m,\lambda}(\pi_l) = \pi_{w_{l,1}\lambda} * \pi_{w_{l,2}\lambda} * \cdots * \pi_{w_{l,m}\lambda} \tag{3.1.2}$$

for some $w_{l,1}$, $w_{l,2}$, $\ldots$, $w_{l,m} \in W$.

3.2 Preliminary lemmas. In this subsection, $\mathfrak{g}$ is again of affine type (for the notation, see §1.2). By using [L2, Lemma 2.1 c)], we can easily show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $i \in I_0$. For each $w \in W$ and $j \in I$, we have* $\mathrm{wt}(\pi_{w\varpi_i}) = \mathrm{wt}(u_{w\varpi_i})$, $\varepsilon_j(\pi_{w\varpi_i}) = \varepsilon_j(u_{w\varpi_i})$, *and* $\varphi_j(\pi_{w\varpi_i}) = \varphi_j(u_{w\varpi_i})$.

It follows from [Kas1, Lemma 1.3.6], [L2, Lemma 2.7], and the lemma above that

$$x_j^k(u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m\varpi_i}) = x_j^{k_1}u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes x_j^{k_2}u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j^{k_m}u_{w_m\varpi_i}$$

for some $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if and only if

$$x_j^k(\pi_{w_1\varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2\varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m\varpi_i}) = x_j^{k_1}\pi_{w_1\varpi_i} * x_j^{k_2}\pi_{w_2\varpi_i} * \cdots * x_j^{k_m}\pi_{w_m\varpi_i}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \in W$. So we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.2. (1) *Let $b = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}u_{\varpi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$. Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the assertion of Proposition 2.2.4 holds, and assume that $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) = u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m\varpi_i}$. Then we have $\pi := x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}\pi_{\varpi_i} \neq 0$, and $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi) = \pi_{w_1\varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2\varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m\varpi_i}$.*
(2) *The converse of* (1) *holds. Namely, let $\pi = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}\pi_{\varpi_i} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the assertion of Proposition 3.1.3 holds, and assume that $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi) = \pi_{w_1\varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2\varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m\varpi_i}$. Then we have $b := x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_k}u_{\varpi_i} \neq 0$, and $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b) = u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m\varpi_i}$.*

4 Main Results.

4.1 Isomorphism theorem. From now on, $\mathfrak{g}$ is always an affine Lie algebra. We can carry out the proof of our isomorphism theorem, following the general line of that for [Kas5, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 4.1.1. *There exists a unique isomorphism $\Phi_{\varpi_i} : \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ of crystals such that $\Phi_{\varpi_i}(u_{\varpi_i}) = \pi_{\varpi_i}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I$ and $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_q \in I$,

(1) $x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_p}u_{\varpi_i} = x_{k_1}x_{k_2}\cdots x_{k_q}u_{\varpi_i} \Leftrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_p}\pi_{\varpi_i} = x_{k_1}x_{k_2}\cdots x_{k_q}\pi_{\varpi_i}$,

(2) $x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_p}u_{\varpi_i} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_p}\pi_{\varpi_i} = 0$.

Part (2) has already been proved in Lemma 3.2.2. Let us show the direction ($\Rightarrow$) of Part (1). Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the assertion of Proposition 2.2.4 holds for both $b_1 := x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_p}u_{\varpi_i}$ and $b_2 := x_{k_1}x_{k_2}\cdots x_{k_q}u_{\varpi_i}$:

$$\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_1) = u_{w_1\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m\varpi_i},$$
$$\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_2) = u_{w_1'\varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2'\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m'\varpi_i}.$$

Since $b_1 = b_2$, we get $u_{w_l \varpi_i} = u_{w'_l \varpi_i}$, and hence $w_l \varpi_i = w'_l \varpi_i$ for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. By Lemma 3.2.2 (1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_1) &= \pi_{w_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m \varpi_i}, \\ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_2) &= \pi_{w'_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w'_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w'_m \varpi_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1 := x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_p} \pi_{\varpi_i}$ and $\pi_2 := x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_q} \pi_{\varpi_i}$. Since $w_l \varpi_i = w'_l \varpi_i$ and $\pi_{w\varpi_i}(t) = t(w\varpi_i)$ for all $w \in W$, we get $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_1) = \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_2)$. Since σ_{m,ϖ_i} is injective, we conclude that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$.

We show the reverse direction ($\Leftarrow$) of Part (1). Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the assertion of Proposition 3.1.3 holds for both $\pi_1 := x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_p} \pi_{\varpi_i}$ and $\pi_2 := x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_q} \pi_{\varpi_i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_1) &= \pi_{w_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m \varpi_i}, \\ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_2) &= \pi_{w'_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w'_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w'_m \varpi_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_1 = \pi_2$, and hence $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_1) = \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(\pi_2)$ in $\mathbb{P}$, the two paths $\pi_{w_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w_m \varpi_i}$ and $\pi_{w'_1 \varpi_i} * \pi_{w'_2 \varpi_i} * \cdots * \pi_{w'_m \varpi_i}$ are identical modulo reparametrization. Hence we can deduce that $w_l \varpi_i = w'_l \varpi_i$ for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ from the fact that if $a\varpi_j \in W\varpi_i$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $i, j \in I_0$, then $i = j$ and $a = 1$. By Lemma 3.2.2 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_1) &= u_{w_1 \varpi_i} \otimes u_{w_2 \varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w_m \varpi_i}, \\ \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_2) &= u_{w'_1 \varpi_i} \otimes u_{w'_2 \varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{w'_m \varpi_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_l \varpi_i = w'_l \varpi_i$ for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, it follows from [Kas5, Proposition 5.8 (i)] that $u_{w_l \varpi_i} = u_{w'_l \varpi_i}$ for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Therefore we have $\sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_1) = \sigma_{m,\varpi_i}(b_2)$. Since σ_{m,ϖ_i} is injective, we conclude that $b_1 = b_2$. $\square$

In general, an isomorphism of crystals between $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ does not exist, even if $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is connected. For example, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type $A_2^{(1)}$, and $\lambda = \varpi_1 + \varpi_2$ (we know from [Kas5, Proposition 5.4] that $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is connected). If $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ as crystals, then we would have $wu_\lambda = w'u_\lambda$ in $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ for every $w, w' \in W$ with $w\lambda = w'\lambda$, but we have an example of $w, w' \in W$ such that $wu_\lambda \neq w'u_\lambda$ in $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and $w\lambda = w'\lambda$ (see [Kas5, Remark 5.10]). On the other hand, we obtain the following corollary by combining Theorems 2.2.1 and 4.1.1, because we can deduce from Theorem 4.1.1 that the concatenation $\mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$ of $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$'s is isomorphic to the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0} \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$'s for all $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i \in I$.

Corollary 4.1.2. *Let* $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} m_i \varpi_i$, *and set* $\pi_{\underline{\lambda}} := \mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \pi_{\varpi_i}^{*m_i} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$. *Let* $\mathbb{B}_0(\underline{\lambda})$ *be the connected component of* $\mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$ *containing* $\pi_{\underline{\lambda}}$, *i.e., that of* $\mathrm{Cat}_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)^{*m_i}$. *Then there exists a unique isomorphism* $\Phi_{\underline{\lambda}} : \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_0(\underline{\lambda})$ *of crystals that maps* u_λ *to* $\pi_{\underline{\lambda}}$.

Remark 4.1.3. In [G], Greenstein proved that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_\ell^{(1)}$, then the connected component $\mathbb{B}_0(m\varpi_i + n\delta)$ is a path model for a certain bounded module $L(\ell, m, n)$. He also showed a decomposition rule for tensor products, which seems to be closely related to Theorem 4.3.2 below.

4.2 Branching rule.

Lemma 4.2.1. *For any* $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$*, we have* $(\pi(1), \pi(1)) \le (\varpi_i, \varpi_i)$*.*

Proof. Let $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ with $\nu_j \in W\varpi_i$ and $a_j \in [0,1]$ be a Lakshmibai-Seshadri path of shape ϖ_i (cf. [L2, §4]). By the definition of a Lakshmibai–Seshadri path, we see that $\pi(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})\nu_j$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi(1), \pi(1)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})^2(\nu_j, \nu_j) + 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\nu_k, \nu_l)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})^2(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) + 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, w_{kl}\varpi_i)\end{aligned}$$

for some $w_{kl} \in W$. By [Kac, Proposition 6.3], we deduce that $w_{kl}\varpi_i = \varpi_i - \beta_{kl} + n_{kl}\delta$ for some $\beta_{kl} \in \sum_{i\in I_0}\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\alpha_i$ and $n_{kl} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we have (note that ϖ_i is of level 0)

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi(1), \pi(1)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})^2(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)\\ &\qquad + 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, \varpi_i - \beta_{kl} + n_{kl}\delta)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})^2(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) + 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)\\ &\qquad - 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, \beta_{kl})\\ &= \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s}(a_j - a_{j-1})\right\}^2(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) - 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, \beta_{kl})\\ &= (\varpi_i, \varpi_i) - 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le s}(a_k - a_{k-1})(a_l - a_{l-1})(\varpi_i, \beta_{kl})\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\varpi_i, \beta_{kl}) \ge 0$ for all $1 \le k < l \le s$, we deduce that $(\pi(1), \pi(1)) \le (\varpi_i, \varpi_i)$, as desired. □

Let S be a proper subset of I, i.e., $S \subsetneq I$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_S$ the Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to S. Note that a crystal $\mathbb{B}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ can be regarded as a crystal for $\mathfrak{g}_S$ by restriction.

Theorem 4.2.2. *As crystals for $\mathfrak{g}_S$, $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ and $\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$ decompose as follows* :

$$\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi\in\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)\\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}_S(\pi(1)), \qquad \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi\in\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)\\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}_S(\pi(1)). \tag{4.2.1}$$

where $\mathbb{B}_S(\lambda)$ is the set of Lakshmibai–Seshadri paths of shape λ for $\mathfrak{g}_S$, and a path π is said to be $\mathfrak{g}_S$-dominant if $(\pi(t))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $i \in S$.

Proof. We will show the first equality in (4.2.1), since the second one can be shown in the same way. As in [Kas1, §9.3], we deduce, using by Lemma 4.2.1, that each connected component of $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ (as a crystal for $\mathfrak{g}_S$) contains an extremal weight element π' with respect to $W_S := \langle r_j \mid j \in S\rangle$. Because $\mathfrak{g}_S$ is a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra, there exists $w \in W_S$ such that $((w\pi)(1))(\alpha_j^\vee) \geq 0$ for all $j \in S$. Put $\pi := w\pi'$ for this $w \in W_S$. Since π is also extremal, we deduce from [L2, Lemma 4.5 d)] that $(\pi(t))(\alpha_j^\vee) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $j \in S$, i.e., π is $\mathfrak{g}_S$-dominant. We see from [L2, Theorem 7.1] that the connected component containing π is isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}_S(\pi(1))$, thereby completing the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 4.2.3. (1) *The extremal weight module $V(\varpi_i)$ of extremal weight ϖ_i is completely reducible as a module over the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$ of the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_S$.*
(2) *The decomposition of $V(\varpi_i)$ as a $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$-module is given by* :

$$V(\varpi_i) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi\in\mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)\\ \pi:\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} V_S(\pi(1)), \tag{4.2.2}$$

where $V_S(\lambda)$ is the integrable highest weight module of highest weight λ over $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$.

Proof. (1) We first prove that $U := U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)u$ is finite-dimensional for each weight vector $u \in V(\varpi_i)$. To prove this, it suffices to show that the weight system $\mathrm{Wt}(U)$ of U is a finite set, since each weight space of $V(\varpi_i)$ is finite-dimensional (see [Kas5, Proposition 5.16 (iii)]). Remark that if μ, $\nu \in P$ are weights of U, then μ, $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*$, and $\mu - \nu \in Q_S := \sum_{i\in S}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$. Hence the canonical map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}_0^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ is injective on $\mathrm{Wt}(U)$, since $k\delta \notin Q_S$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$. Since $\mathrm{Wt}(U)$ is contained in the weight system $\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))$ of $V(\varpi_i)$, it follows from Theorem 4.1.1 and Lemma 4.2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Wt}(U)) \subset \mathrm{cl}\big(\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))\big) &= \mathrm{cl}\big(\{\pi(1) \mid \pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)\}\big) \quad \text{by Theorem 4.1.1}\\ &\subset \big\{\mu' \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta \mid (\mu',\mu') \leq (\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i),\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i))\big\} \quad \text{by Lemma 4.2.1.}\end{aligned}$$

Because the bilinear form $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ is positive-definite, the set $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Wt}(U))$ is discrete and contained in a compact set with respect to the metric topology on $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta)$

defined by $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$. Therefore, we see that $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Wt}(U))$ is a finite set, and hence so is $\mathrm{Wt}(U)$. Thus, we conclude that $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)u$ is finite-dimensional.

Since q is assumed not to be a root of unity, the finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)$-module $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)u$ is completely reducible for each weight vector $u \in V(\varpi_i)$. Because $V(\varpi_i)$ is a sum of all such $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_S)u$'s, we deduce that $V(\varpi_i)$ is also completely reducible.

(2) Because each weight space of $V(\varpi_i)$ is finite-dimensional, we can define the formal character $\mathrm{ch}\,V(\varpi_i)$ of $V(\varpi_i)$. By Theorem 4.2.2, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}\,V(\varpi_i) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i) \\ \pi\colon\ \mathfrak{g}_S\text{-dominant}}} \mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\pi(1)).$$

Therefore, in order to prove Part (2), we need only show that this is the unique way of writing $\mathrm{ch}\,V(\varpi_i)$ as a sum of the characters of integrable highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_S$-modules. Assume that

$$\mathrm{ch}\,V(\varpi_i) = \sum_{\lambda \in P} c_\lambda \,\mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{ch}\,V(\varpi_i) = \sum_{\lambda \in P} c'_\lambda \,\mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\lambda)$$

with $c_\lambda, c'_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\lambda \in P$. Then we have $\sum_{\lambda\in P}(c_\lambda - c'_\lambda)\,\mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\lambda) = 0$. Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in P$ such that $c_\lambda - c'_\lambda \neq 0$, and set $X := \bigl\{\lambda \in P \mid c_\lambda - c'_\lambda \neq 0\bigr\} (\neq \emptyset)$. Note that X is contained in the weight system $\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))$ of $V(\varpi_i)$. As in the proof of Part (1), we deduce that

$$\mathrm{cl}\bigl(\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))\bigr) \subset \bigl\{\mu' \in \mathfrak{h}_0^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta \mid (\mu', \mu') \leq (\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i), \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i))\bigr\},$$

and hence $\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}\delta$ is a finite set.

Now, we define a partial order $\geq_S$ on P as follows:

$$\mu \geq_S \nu \quad \text{for} \ \ \mu, \nu \in P \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mu - \nu \in (Q_S)_+ := \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i.$$

Let us show that the set X has a maximal element with respect to this order $\geq_S$. Let $\mu \in X$. Then $\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i)) \cap (\mu + Q_S)$ is a finite set. Indeed, if this is not a finite set, then there exist elements ν, ν' of it such that $\nu - \nu' = k\delta$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, since $\mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i))$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}\delta$ is a finite set. However, since $\nu - \nu' \in Q_S$ and $k\delta \notin Q_S$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, we see that $X \cap (\mu + (Q_S)_+)$ is also a finite set, and hence that X has a maximal element of the form $\mu + \beta$ for some $\beta \in (Q_S)_+$.

Let $\nu \in X$ be a maximal element with respect to this order $\geq_S$. We can easily see that the coefficient of $e(\nu)$ in $\sum_{\lambda\in P}(c_\lambda - c'_\lambda)\,\mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\lambda)$ is equal to $c_\nu - c'_\nu$. Since $\nu \in X$, we have $c_\nu - c'_\nu \neq 0$, which contradicts $\sum_\lambda (c_\lambda - c'_\lambda)\,\mathrm{ch}\,V_S(\lambda) = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

4.3 Decomposition rule for tensor products. In this subsection, we assume that ϖ_i is minuscule, i.e., $\varpi_i(\alpha^\vee) \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$ for every dual real root $\alpha^\vee$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. For example, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_n^{(1)}$, then all ϖ_i's are minuscule.

Remark 4.3.1. If ϖ_i is minuscule, then, for any $\mu, \nu \in W\varpi_i$ and rational number $0 < a < 1$, there does not exist an a-chain for (μ, ν). Hence it follows from the definition of a Lakshmibai–Seshadri path that $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) = \{\pi_{w\varpi_i} \mid w \in W\}$. Since $w\pi_{\varpi_i} = \pi_{w\varpi_i}$, we see that $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is connected, and hence $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) = \mathbb{B}_0(\varpi_i)$.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let λ be a dominant integral weight which is not a multiple of the null root δ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the concatenation $\mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ decomposes as follows:*

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i) \\ \pi:\ \lambda\text{-dominant}}} \mathbb{B}(\lambda + \pi(1)), \tag{4.3.1}$$

where $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is said to be λ-dominant if $(\lambda + \pi(t))(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $i \in I$.

Proof. We will prove that each connected component contains a (unique) path of the form $\pi_\lambda * \pi$ for a λ-dominant path $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. Then the assertion of the theorem follows from [L2, Theorem 7.1].

Let $\pi_1 * \pi_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda) * \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. It can easily be seen that $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}(\pi_1 * \pi_2) = \pi_\lambda * \pi_2'$ for some $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in I$, where $\pi_2' \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ (cf. [G, §5.6]). Set $S := \{i \in I \mid \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0\}$ (note that $S \subsetneq I$, since λ is not a multiple of δ), and let $\mathbb{B}$ be the set of paths of the form $e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l}(\pi_\lambda * \pi_2')$ for some $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l \in S$. Remark that if $e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l}(\pi_\lambda * \pi_2') \neq 0$, then $e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l}(\pi_\lambda * \pi_2') = \pi_\lambda * (e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_l} \pi_2')$. As in the proof of Part (2) of Theorem 4.2.3, we deduce that

$$\{\pi(1) \mid \pi \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)\} \cap \left(\pi_2'(1) + (Q_S)_+\right) = \mathrm{Wt}(V(\varpi_i)) \cap \left(\pi_2'(1) + (Q_S)_+\right)$$

is a finite set. Hence we have $\pi_\lambda * \pi_2'' \in \mathbb{B}$ for some $\pi_2'' \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ such that $e_j(\pi_\lambda * \pi_2'') = 0$, $j \in S$. Because ϖ_i is minuscule and $\pi_2'' = \pi_{w\varpi_i}$ for some $w \in W$ (cf. Remark 4.3.1), we see that $e_j(\pi_\lambda * \pi_2'') = 0$ for all $j \in I \setminus S$. Therefore, we conclude that $\pi_2'' \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ is λ-dominant. Thus we have completed the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 4.3.3. Unlike Theorems 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, this theorem does not necessarily imply the decomposition rule for tensor products of corresponding $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

References

[B] J. Beck, Crystal structure of level zero extremal weight modules, math.QA/0205095.

[BN] J. Beck and H. Nakajima, Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras, in preparation.

[G] J. Greenstein, Littelmann's path crystal and combinatorics of certain integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}}$ modules of level zero, math.QA/0206263.

[J] A. Joseph, "Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals", Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete Vol. 29, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[Kac] V. G. Kac, "Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras", 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[Kas1] M. Kashiwara, The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), 839–858.

[Kas2] M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994), 383–413.

[Kas3] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases, *in* "Representations of Groups" (B. N. Allison and G. H. Cliff, Eds.), CMS Conf. Proc. Vol. 16, pp. 155–197, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[Kas4] M. Kashiwara, Similarity of crystal bases, *in* "Lie Algebras and Their Representations" (S.-J. Kang et al., Eds.), Contemp. Math. Vol. 194, pp. 177–186, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[Kas5] M. Kashiwara, On level zero representations of quantized affine algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 117–175.

[L1] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood–Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebras, *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), 329–346.

[L2] P. Littelmann, Paths and root operators in representation theory, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **142** (1995), 499–525.

[L3] P. Littelmann, Characters of representations and paths in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$, *in* "Representation Theory and Automorphic Forms" (T. N. Bailey and A. W. Knapp, Eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 61, pp. 29–49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[L4] P. Littelmann, The path model, the quantum Frobenius map and standard monomial theory, *in* "Algebraic Groups and Their Representations" (R. W. Carter and J. Saxl, Eds.), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Vol. 517, pp. 175–212, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

[N] H. Nakajima, Extremal weight modules of quantum affine algebras, math.QA/0204183.

[NS1] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Three kinds of extremal weight vectors fixed by a diagram automorphism, preprint.

[NS2] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal base elements of an extremal weight module fixed by a diagram automorphism, preprint.